

Public Comment Summary | February 2023 Oklahoma Freight Transportation Plan 2023-2030

Contents

Public Comment Summary	1
Public Survey Results	1
Interactive Map	
Comment Page	3

Attachment A: Public Survey Attachment B: Public Survey Summary Attachment C: Interactive Map Comments



Public Comment Summary

An online survey was created and posted on the Oklahoma Freight Transportation Plan Update website (<u>www.odot.org/2023-2030FreightPlan</u>) in June 2022 to gather public input. The survey asked high-level questions about freight priorities statewide. Other questions were related to the biggest challenges facing Oklahoma's freight system, mode importance, freight bottlenecks, and differences between freight in urban and rural areas. An example survey form is included in Attachment A.

PUBLIC SURVEY RESULTS

Forty-three (43) responses to the public survey were received. The average time to complete the survey was just over four minutes. A complete breakdown of all survey responses is included in Attachment B.

The first public survey question asked participants to indicate their top three priorities for freight transportation in Oklahoma. The top three responses are listed below. The numbers in parentheses indicate the number of responses that listed the topic as a top 3 priority.

- Improve the condition of non-highway modes such as rail and waterways (26)
- Improve safety (17)
- Support the economy (13)
- Improving congestion and decreasing travel times (13)

Other responses included improving the condition of highways and bridges (9) and increasing truck parking (8). The remaining options received no more than six (6) responses each.

The next question asked participants about the biggest challenge facing Oklahoma's freight system. The top three responses are listed below.

- Competition from other states/countries (17)
- Infrastructure condition (10)
- Rising operating costs (6)

The remaining options received no more than four (4) responses each.

In terms of modal importance, 25 of the respondents (60%) said rail was most important, followed by highways (17 responses, 40%). No other modes were listed as the most important.

One-quarter (25%) of the respondents mentioned either the Oklahoma City and/or Tulsa metro areas as having the most significant freight bottlenecks in the state. Other responses listed specific locations, including:

• US-69 from Choteau to Pryor



Public Comment Summary

- I-44 and I-35
- Mooreland and Waynoka
- I-35 at the Texas border and all other border corridors
- Enid and Altus
- Area of the state with undersized or outdated infrastructure (e.g., SE Oklahoma)
- Travel between Oklahoma and Missouri, and I-40 to Texas

In terms of the next freight project ODOT should consider, seventeen (17) respondents indicated highway/freight intermodal growth for energy/agricultural commodities. Other responses included:

- Projects in rural areas
- Widening of I-35
- Improvements to US-69, including Atoka
- Over or underpasses to connect communities across highways
- Rail lines in SW Oklahoma, new rail lines
- Highway bypasses for freight
- EV freight charging
- Expanded truck parking
- Widening of 2-lane sections of US-412

The final question asked participants about the biggest differences between freight challenges in rural and urban areas. The largest number of responses (17) indicated that safety, congestion, and grade separations of railway and highways are issues in rural areas. Other responses are summarized below. While not always specifically mentioned in the response, the issues are separated into urban and rural areas based on other responses by the same participant.

- Urban Areas
 - Traffic/congestion (5)
 - Lack of parking (3)
- Rural Areas
 - Rural areas have undersized and outdated infrastructure (3)
 - o Connectivity rural areas have longer travel times due to distance
 - o Rural areas have fewer options for avoiding railroad crossings
 - Access there are not enough accessible routes that do not cause conflicts with local traffic and pedestrians.



- Rural areas suffer from lack of business, available hiring pool, and overall lack of economic development
- Narrow roads not designed to carry heavy traffic
- Poor infrastructure condition
- State funding to rural areas
- Differences in scale and impact (small issues in an urban area might be a large issue in a rural area)

The public survey did not capture information about location or participant demographics.

INTERACTIVE MAP

The project website included a link to an interactive map of the state of Oklahoma where participants could leave comments tied to specific geographic locations. Seven (7) comments were placed on the interactive map (Attachment C). Comments are numbered according to the locations on the map and the commenting agency is indicated in parentheses.

- US-70 Roosevelt Bridge needs to be replaced freight traffic is restricted or being rerouted over two-lane county highways (Southern Oklahoma Development Association)
- 2. I-35 must be widened at the Red River to match what is being built to the south (City of Ardmore).
- 3. Put emphasis on rural freight network enhancement and connectivity allowing opportunities for highway freight to have alternatives to I-35 and I-40. Shifting freight to US or State highway routes can possibly increase capacity (Southwest Oklahoma Regional Transportation Organization).
- 4. US-70 is a link between I-35, I-44, and US-183. There is potential for redirection of traffic from Oklahoma City metro to western Oklahoma (Southwest Oklahoma Regional Transportation Organization).
- SH-7 is a freight route connecting manufacturing/distribution centers to I-35. SH-7 needs to be 4-lane to I-35 to increase safety and efficiency and opportunities for highway freight (Southwest Oklahoma Regional Transportation Organization).
- 6. Evaluate EV corridors and their relationship to highway freight movement (Southwest Oklahoma Regional Transportation Organization).
- 7. Identify US-183 as a wide load route and direct route from Texas to Kansas. Designate US-183 as a rural freight highway (Southwest Oklahoma Regional Transportation Organization).

COMMENT PAGE

The project website included a link to a general comment form for any comments not submitted via the surveys or the interactive map. No responses were received from this page.



OD	OT Freight Plan Update
Public S	จิปาวคง
i done c	
1. Plea	ase indicate your top three priorities for freight transportation in Oklahoma:
	Improve safety
	Support the economy
	Reduce congestion and improve travel times
	Improve reliability
	Reduce freight costs
	Improve the condition of highways and bridges
	Improve the condition of non-highway freight modes such as rail and waterways
	Reduce environmental impacts
	Increase technology and innovation
	Increase truck parking
	Other
2. Wha	at do you see as the biggest challenge facing Oklahoma's freight system?
\bigcirc	Infrastructure condition
\bigcirc	Rising operating costs
\bigcirc	Increasing traffic volumes
\bigcirc	Insufficient freight network
\bigcirc	Lack of truck parking
\bigcirc	National economic factors
\bigcirc	Competition from other states/countries

3. W	/hat mode of freight in Oklahoma do you think is most important?
\subset	Highways/trucks
\subset) Rail
\subset	Waterways
\subset	Air
. W	/hat locations do you see as the most significant freight bottlenecks in Oklahoma?
	Enter your answer /hat is the next freight project you think ODOT should consider?
5. W	Vhat is the next freight project you think ODOT should consider?
5. W	
5. W	Vhat is the next freight project you think ODOT should consider? Enter your answer
5. W	Vhat is the next freight project you think ODOT should consider?

This content is created by the owner of the form. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner. Microsoft is not responsible for the privacy or security practices of its customers, including those of this form owner. Never give out your password.

Powered by Microsoft Forms

The owner of this form has not provided a privacy statement as to how they will use your response data. Do not provide personal or sensitive information.

Terms of use

Please indicate your top three priorities for freight transportation in Oklahoma:





What do you see as the biggest challenge facing Oklahoma's freight system?





What mode of freight in Oklahoma do you think is most important?





What locations do you see as the most significant freight bottlenecks in Oklahoma?

Responses

- 1 In and around OKC
- 2 Tulsa, Okc, major cities
- 3 Oklahoma City and Tulsa
- 4 69 Highway from choteau to pryor
- 5 OKC and Tulsa metro areas
- 6 Where routes have to go through city/town main thoroughfares.
- 7 Tulsa
- 8 | 44 and | 35
- 9 Mooreland & Waynoka
- 10 I-35 Corridor at the Texas border. All corridors along the Oklahoma/Texas border
- 11 Oklahoma City
- 12 highway/freight intermodal growth for energy/agriculture commodities
- 13 Highway/Freight intermodel growth for energy/agricultural commodities
- 14 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities
- 15 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities
- 16 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities
- 17 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities
- 8 Highway/Freight Inter-modal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities
- 19 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities

Transportation

Responses

- Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities 20 21 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities Highway/freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities 22 23 Enid, Altus, 24 > Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities Highway/Freight Inter-modal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities 25 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities 26 Areas in Oklahoma where the infrastructure is undersized or extremely 27 outdated (i.e. SE parts of the state). Tulsa and O.K.C. And travel between Oklahoma/Mo, and out to Tx. On I40! 28 Highway/freight intermodal growth for energy and agricultural 29 commodities. 30 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities 31 Tulsa 32 Highway/Freight Intermodal Growth for Energy/Agricultural Commodities 33 OKC & Tulsa 34 **OKC Metro** 35 Oklahoma City 36 Highway 69 Poor road conditions and traffic from insufficient lanes (2 lane highways are 37 dangerous in rural Oklahoma).

What is the next freight project you think ODOT should consider?

	Responses		Responses	
1	EV Freight Charging	18	N/A	
2	Highway by passes for big freight		none currently	
3	None	20	N/A	
4	69 Highway from muskogee to big cabin		rail, road and bridge improvements	
5	Think beyond highways/roads for trucks. Really evaluate rail and new potential rail lines. Rail freight is safer and can move more cargo Continue to improve rail lines located in south western OK	22	N/A	
J		23	N/A	
6		24	N/A	
7	Highway 69	25	Take a look at areas of Oklahoma that ODOT for a long time has not really	
8	Over/underpasses in rural communities. Several times are the opposite sides of town cut off from one another.	26	paid attention to (i.e. more rural areas of Oklahoma). I 44 from Mo. line to O.K.C. I 40 O.K.C. To Tx.line!	
9	Widening of I-35	27	n/a	
10	Widening I-35 to three lanes each between OKC and Dallas and 5 lanes each way between OKC and Norman.	28	n/a	
10		29	Improving Rail slow orders	
11	n/a		Identifying projects in rural Oklahoma that increase safety of the public and	
12	NA	30	increase freight connectivity between rural communities and	
13	N/A	31	US/SH/Interstates Expanding truck parking	
14	N/A			
15	Altus Economic Loop	32	Atoka Oklahoma	
4 16	N/A	33	412 is terrible across the entire state, it should not have any 2 lanes.	
17	?	55	The state, it should not have any 2 lanes.	

What are the biggest differences between freight challenges in rural and urban areas?

		Responses			Responses
	1	Traffic and LACK of PARKING			Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas
	2	roadway capacity		0	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas.
	3	Time and amount	2	1	Rural areas suffer from lack of business, available employee hires, overall lack of
	4	Really none			economic development
	5	Connectivity. Urban areas are well connected to move freight (even with traffic	22		Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas
	-	congestion) rural areas simply have longer travel times due to distance	2	3	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas.
	6	Rural highways and roads have more damage due to truck traffic but less funding to repair/upgrade road surfaces.		4	Saftey, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas
	7				In rural areas it seems the challenges are more related to undersized or outdated
		Urban areas have more ways to avoid crossing RR. Access. Not enough accessible routes that do not cause traffic problems with local	25		infrastructure whereas in urban areas it seems the challenges are more related to
	8	vehicle and pedestrian traffic.	26	~	traffic congestion.
	9	Capacity issues			Narrow roads that never was supposed to have heavy traffic!!
	10	safety, congestion and grade separation of railways from highw2ays in rural areas	27		Safety, congestion and grade separation of railways in rural areas.
			28	8	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas.
	11	Safety, congestion and grade separation of railways from highways in rural areas	29	9	Road sizes and available parking
	12	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas.	30	0	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas.
	13	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas	3	1	Getting state funding to rural areas.
	10				Rural freight differences is the scale /volume of the operation. What may be a
	14	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas.	33 34	3	large issue to a rural area is often considered a minor issue by urban areas. Must
	15	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas.			look at the scale/impact.
		Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in Rural		4	Urban - congestion/road infrastructure conditions/parking/delivery vs. Rural - safety/road infrastructure conditions
	16	Areas	35	5	Red lights on major highway
	17	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas	5.	5	Rural areas have busted 2 lane roads that are dangerous, Urban areas get
	17		36	6	congested from insufficient lanes. Both need immediate attention due to the lack
	18	Safety, Congestion and Grade Separation of Railways from Highways in rural areas		5	of planning and maintenance.

